P.F. 17895

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1894-95

192

(L.R.)

File No. 144 894

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Major **Part B for** July 1895

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Venkatáramanasaiya of Gundi-gere, Kalamangala Taluk, Kegarding his claim to the Shanbhogi Office.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

Concurred in
Rs P
Interest

BSR

Office-note

A S No 55 of 1894-95

Petitioner-appellant - Khankata-
Narasimhachar
Thanedar of
Sundere,
Belagavi taluk.

Petitioner appeals against
the order of the Dy Commr
Bangalore dismissing
him from the thanedarship
for having forged figures
in Khanesumari accounts
of 1891-92

2 The facts of the case
are clearly given in
the Asstant Memo marked
as enclosed to the Dy Commr's

Posted for hearing
in the usual course
on merits
@ 1/7/95

1-7-95

XX
Posted to 16 July -
Notice issued
Sri Rokeiah prepared
dated 5/7/95.

8.30/11/94

and his decision is on the
back of it (in English)

3 The appellant's petition
is in English

File placed in my hands 24/6/95
submitted for orders.

V.R.T. 24/6/95

The act of which the Shanbogue has been found guilty is very serious and the Petr can no longer be deemed trustworthy who entrusted with the duties of a Shanbogue. The order of dismissing him is therefore correct & upheld. There will however be no objection to allow his son if otherwise competent to be appointed as Shanbogue and he allowed to do the duties thereof.

23rd. July 1855

Drafted 24/7

5 (1)

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189

No. 1631

Name of Petitioner. Venkat narasaiah Shanbogue

Village. Gundagere.

Taluk. Nelavangala

District. Bangalore,

Date of Arzi. 8-12-94

Date of Receipt. 8-12-94

Date of Disposal. R. R. 166-94-1

No. of Enclosures. ~~~~

two rupees stamp affixed

ABSTRACT.

Mr. S Narain Rw advocate appears for the petitioner and prays that the ords of the Dy Commr dismissing the Shanbogue may be set aside for reasons adduced in the petition

The grounds of appeal and the Dy Commr's order are in English

Submitted to record
In time
Stamp required

Shanbog of Gundagere
do against the order of the
Commr, Bangalore dismissing
from office for
(1) falsification of Govt Records
(2) Making false statement
Connection with a Shanbog
Ref denies the charges

If 2

Call

11/12/94

4

Appeal No. 87 of 1954-55.

Appellant:
Venkatanarasaja

Appeal against the Order of the Deputy Commr. of Bangalore, dismissing Appellant's petn. for Sketch of Gundagere in the Kelamangala Taluk.

List of papers.

(1) Office note
(2) Appeal petition
(3) Copy of order appealed against
(4) Abstract memo. of the Dy Commr.
(5) Sketch order thereon.
(6) Dy Commr's docket
(7) Official memorandum calling for records.





S.C.

Petition filed 3/12/95

Dist Court Office

Vide Gaz 1895 3-8-95

qw 1631

A.J. 85 of 95

The Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. of 1894-95.

The humble petition of appeal
of Kukkeda narain Kandhagar of
Gandigeri of Nelamangala Taluq
Bangalore District against the order
of the Deputy Commissioner dated
16th September 1894

Respectfully sheweth

That one Tandirika applied
for certain waste land in the
said village which was ordered to
be sold by auction.

pleased to notice that the learned Deputy Commissioner erred in holding (1) that Potta narasa's sale deed is an unstamped paper" whereas the same is a Stamped one (2) and that the Shanbhogeru Venkata narasiah (appellant) has forged figures in the Khane Shumar account", whereas the document in the Talug[a] record is in the handwriting of the Talug[a] Gumasta without any alterations at all and what the appellant used for refreshing his memory was a rough one (ಕಚ್ಚಾ) in his own possession and not a Government record.

5. The Government will be further pleased to notice that the

2. Before the Sale the Petitioner objected to the Sale on the ground that the said land was his being the property purchased from one Sanjagi Tammiah

3. In the dispute between the said two persons as to the ownership of the said land, the learned Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore has by his order dated 10th September 1874 a copy of which is herewith submitted; directed the dismissal of the petition.

4. The learned Deputy Commissioner erred in passing the said order summarily without hearing, before Enquiry and without obtaining the Explanations of the Appellant.

5. The Government will be pleased

the appellant is not guilty of any bad faith whatever and that he has been very severely punished

7 For the foregoing reasons the petitioner prays that the Government will be graciously pleased to set aside the order of dismissal

Bangalore
8th December
1894

S Narayana Rao
Advocate for
Appellant

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1631
dated 5th Dec 1894 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkatarama
late Mantagar Jemadar
Nelamangala Taluk (by
advocate Mr. S. Narain Rau
appealing against the
by. Comm's order dismiss
the petitioner from office
is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the by. Comm.
Bangalore District
for submission of the
entire records connect
with the case
copy date
2. The petition with it
enclosure should be
returned.

By Order,

Bangalore, 22-12-1894

Chief Secretary.

M.G.C.P. Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000

OFFICE OF THE
1542
11 MAY 95
DEWAN OF MYSORE

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

11 (4) 1894-95

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 9th May 1895.
Despatched 10th — Do —
Received

Enclosures—No. 1 & 2

General No.
D No. 1348.

The Chief Secretary's Official Memo No. Pet 1026 dated 16th December 1894, calling for the records connected with the dismissal of Venkatanarasu Shanbog of Gundigere, Nelamangala Taluk.

In returning the enclosures of the above, has the honor to submit the Records therein called for.

Mr Cockburn
for Dy Commissioner
Bgly

124

(6)

Proceedings of the Govt of Bangalore

L. R. Kharagpur

Read:—

(1) Petition No 1631 dated A.S. 85 6th December 1894, forwarded by Venkatanarasaiah, late Shanbhag of Gundigere, Kolamangala Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Bangalore dismissing Petition for falsifying land record, namely, the Khanesumari account for 1891-92, and for making false statement, with regard to the claim set up by his cousin Chikkanarasaiah to a building site in Gundigere

(2) Proceedings received with the Bangalore Deputy Commr's docket No 1345, dated 9th May 1895.

—u—

Order thereon. No 1392. F.Y. 1795. dy 31-7-95

The

14

(5)

నంబరు
1631
A.S. 85

L.R. 144 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1894 [illegible] 1631 / A.S. 85 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

31-7

Revenue Secretary.

Draft.

2 Drafts submitted for approval

[illegible]

The act of which the Shanbhog has been found guilty is very serious, and the Petitioner can no longer be deemed trustworthy to be entrusted with the duties of a Shanbhog. The order dismissing him is therefore correct and upheld. There will, however, be no objection to allow his son, if competent, to be appointed as Shanbhog and to be allowed to do duties thereof.

Draft 31-7-95
Revenue Secretary

To

The Deputy Comm.
Bangalore District
with records.

29/7